CAB 1546 (LDF) FOR DECISION WARD(S): GENERAL

CABINET (LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK) COMMITTEE

6 November 2007

WINCHESTER DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK – RENEWABLE ENERGY – INTERIM ISSUES AND OPTIONS REPORT

REPORT OF HEAD OF STRATEGIC PLANNING

Contact Officer: Nigel Green Tel No: 01962 848562 email ngreen@winchester.gov.uk

RECENT REFERENCES:

CAB 1472 – Winchester District Local Development Framework – Core Strategy – 7th June 2007

CAB 1405 - Winchester District Local Development Framework – Core Strategy – 7th February 2007

CAB 1328 - Winchester District Local Development Framework – Core Strategy – 11th October 2006

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report provides Members with an update on the progress to date with the preparation of a Renewable Energy Issues and Options paper, which will form part of evidence base for the Core Strategy, the first development plan document being produced as part of the Winchester LDF.

The consequences of climate change are one of the most serious challenges facing humanity. The solutions to both reducing the causes of global warming, and the appropriate measures to adapt to the effects of extreme weather patterns, must be found at both the inter-governmental level, and locally.

The Core Strategy is expected to provide strategic guidance as to how the District will contribute towards national and regional objectives aimed at reducing carbon emissions, the principal cause of global warming, and to set out other measures aimed at addressing climate change. While the Core Strategy will provide a range of broad policies aimed at addressing the issue of climate change, this paper deals specifically with the need to address greenhouse gas emissions by promoting renewable energy.

Limited work has been undertaken to assess the renewable energy options for the West of Waterlooville MDA; and a recent study has been commissioned by PUSH (Partnership for Urban South Hampshire) into the options for energy policy and infrastructure in South Hampshire. This will increase our understanding of the potential for different renewable energy technologies in the south of the District but would not provide a sound evidence base for determining the preferred option in respect of renewable energy in the Core Strategy as it does not cover the whole District.

The attached paper sets out the background and policy framework for dealing with climate change, together with a brief discussion on the renewable energy technologies available. It draws together the various issues facing the District; and finally sets out a series of policy options for inclusion in the Core Strategy.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1 That Members note the progress being made with the Renewable Energy Issues and Options Paper for the Core Strategy, and agree the content as the basis for developing options for the Core Strategy.
- 2 That Members note the background studies and agree that further work will need to be commissioned to fully test the renewable energy options in the District.

CABINET (LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK) COMMITTEE

6 November 2007

WINCHESTER DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK – RENEWABLE ENERGY -INTERIM ISSUES AND OPTIONS REPORT

REPORT OF HEAD OF STRATEGIC PLANNING

DETAIL:

- 1 Introduction
- 1.1 The issue of climate change is of global importance, but many of the actions to tackle the problems created through global warming will need to be delivered locally.
- 1.2 The evidence that human activity is directly contributing towards climate change was supported by the Stern Review (October 2006) and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, which concluded that the variable, and extreme weather patterns we have been witnessing both locally and internationally; warmer summers and winters; and both drought and flood conditions, are all part of a trend caused by global warming, which is set to continue.
- 1.3 Government and regional policy is setting new standards and targets for sustainable building design and renewable energy, the main issue for the Council to determine is whether these standards and targets go far enough, or whether locally it would be possible to develop a more ambitious approach to tackling climate change.
- 1.4 The South East Plan (policy SH14) sets sub regional targets for renewable energy, and the Partnership for Urban South Hampshire (PUSH) has commissioned consultants to undertake a study into the renewable energy options and required infrastructure to deliver the sub-regional energy strategy. However this study is looking at the broad PUSH area, and does not include either Winchester Town or the northern part of the District. Therefore, the PUSH study will probably not provide a sound evidence base for determining the preferred options in respect of renewable energy for the whole District in the Core Strategy.
- 1.5 Clearly the problems of climate change cannot all be resolved through the planning system, but the Government sees effective spatial planning as one of the many elements required for a successful response to tackling climate change.

2 <u>The Evidence Base</u>

- 2.1 In order to 'frontload' the LDF process, and to inform and ensure consistency with the Community Strategy, a campaign was launched in February 2007 called 'Live for the Future'. The aim was to engage with the local community to identify the needs, issues and aspirations of local stakeholders. The focus of the campaign was to explore the concept of creating sustainable communities.
- 2.3 In the summer of 2007 the Council published a draft paper 'Live for the Future: Tackling Climate Change' for consultation, setting out a framework for developing polices to address the issue of climate change. The draft plan sets out what the local

community, through the Winchester District Strategic Partnership, can do in delivering action on this important issue. This document recognises the strong link with the Council's spatial planning polices in the LDF.

- 2.5 The requirement to reduce the need to travel and to allocate new development in sustainable locations is a fundamental principle that will underpin the Core Strategy. This 'issues and options' paper therefore concentrates on the opportunities to address climate change through the development of policies to promote energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy.
- 2.6 Spatial planning can play a significant role in addressing climate change; however its impact needs to be put into context. New homes only account for an increase of approximately 1% per annum in the UK housing stock, and even if they only meet Part L of the current Building Regulations, it would mean that they are significantly more energy efficient than the majority of older homes. However the District is expected to provide at least 12,240 new homes over the next 20 years, plus a part of the new Strategic Development Area to the north/north east of Hedge End. This offers the opportunity to make a significant impact on improving the energy efficiency in a sizable proportion of the District's housing stock (which is currently about 46,600 dwellings).
- 2.7 While it is therefore important to ensure that new homes meet the highest standards of energy efficiency, a further challenge is to ensure that the energy performance of the existing housing stock is also raised.
- 2.7 The Core Strategy will need to provide clear policy guidance on how the District intends to contribute towards achieving the national and regional targets on renewable energy; it should also be clear on the standard of energy efficiency it expects in respect of new development. In developing LDF policies on climate change the Council needs to take into account the range of technologies available to deliver the policy requirements, and the costs associated with some of the emerging technologies.
- 3 <u>Conclusion</u>
- 3.1 The option of doing nothing is not a serious option, but it is essential that the policy approach adopted by the Council leads to cost effective as well as environmentally sound solutions. The attached Interim Renewable Energy Issues and Options paper will form part of the evidence base for the LDF, and sets out a number of the issues which have emerged through the frontloading process, together with a number of options to address.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:

- 4 <u>CORPORATE STRATEGY (RELEVANCE TO)</u>:
- 4.1 The LDF is a key corporate priority and will contribute to achieving the Council's vision through the outcomes set out under providing better services.
- 5 <u>RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS</u>:
- 5.1 The 2007/08 budget provides adequate funding for the LDF.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS:

None

APPENDICES:

Appendix A: Interim Renewable Energy Issues and Options Paper

WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

INTERIM REPORT ON RENEWABLE ENERGY: CURRENT ISSUES AND OPTIONS

Summary

The consequences of climate change are one of the most serious challenges facing humanity. The solutions to both reducing the causes of global warming, and the appropriate measures to adapt to the effects of extreme weather patterns, must be found at both the inter-governmental level, and locally.

The Core Strategy is expected to provide strategic guidance as to how the district will contribute towards national and regional objectives aimed at reducing carbon emissions, the principle cause of global warming. And to set out other measures aimed at addressing climate change.

Government and regional policy is setting new standards for sustainable building design and renewable energy, the main issue for the council to determine is whether these standards and targets go far enough, or whether locally it would be possible to develop a more ambitious approach to tackling climate change.

This paper sets out the background and policy framework for dealing with climate change; together with a brief discussion on the technologies available; it draws together the various issues facing the district; and finally sets out a series of policy options for inclusion in the Core Strategy

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The issue of climate change is of global importance, but many of the actions to tackle the problems created through global warming will need to be delivered locally.
- 1.2 The Stern Review; 'The economics of climate change' was published in October 2006. This examined the overwhelming body of evidence which demonstrates that it is human activity which is changing the world's climate.
- 1.3 This evidence has been supported by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, which concluded that the variable, and extreme, weather patterns we have been witnessing both locally and internationally; warmer summers and winters; and both drought and flood conditions, are all part of a trend caused by global warming, which is set to continue.
- 1.4 The government has recently consulted on a draft Planning Policy Statement (Supplement to PPS1): Planning and Climate Change, which it intends to publish in its final form by the end of the year. It sets out how spatial planning should contribute to reducing emissions and stabilising climate change, and the need to take into account the unavoidable consequences.

- 1.5 Clearly the problems of climate change cannot all be resolved through the planning system, but the government does see effective spatial planning as one of the many elements required for a successful response to tackling climate change
- 1.6 To tackle climate change will incur costs, as recognised in the Stern Review, but the price that will have to be paid is far less than the social, economic and environmental costs of doing nothing. A Mori poll carried out in the summer, showed a degree of scepticism by some individuals on the actions necessary to combat climate change. Therefore the policy response by the City Council must lead to cost effective actions that make a serious contribution to reducing green house gas emissions, if it is to engage the local community in the fight against global warming.

2. Policy context

National Policy

- 2.1 PPS1 Delivering Sustainable Development sets out the Government's overarching planning policies on the delivery of sustainable development through the planning system.
- 2.2 In December 2006 the Department of Communities and Local Government, issued a consultation draft Supplement to PPS1; Planning and Climate Change. It is intended that the supplement to the PPS will be published in its final form towards the end of the year, specifically setting out how the planning system should deal with the issue of climate change.
- 2.3 The role the Government sees for spatial planning in tackling climate change is;
- Directly influencing energy use and emissions
- Delivering the government's ambitions of zero carbon development
- Shaping sustainable communities that are resilient to climate change
- Creating attractive environments for innovation, and supporting renewable and low energy technologies
- And finally; giving local communities the real opportunity to influence and take action on climate change.
- 2.4 Local authorities should ensure that their Core Strategy (CS) sets out polices and proposals in line with the Regional Spatial Strategy, and consider the local circumstances that would allow further progress to be made in addressing climate change. In doing so the CS should both inform and in turn be informed by the approach to climate change in the Community Strategy.
- 2.5 In identifying and allocating sites in the LDF, account should be taken of the opportunities to meet the climate change agenda. Planning authorities should assess their area's potential for accommodating renewable and low carbon technologies in all forms of development. DPDs should clearly state polices to ensure that a significant proportion of a development's energy supply is generated on-site through renewable or low carbon energy supplies.
- 2.6 Planning applications that clearly ignore these polices should be refused, but the Government does not consider it necessary to apply planning conditions to those

aspects of building construction that are best dealt with through the building regulations.

- 2.7 While the above requirements are not yet formally adopted Government policy they do give firm guidance on the direction the Government expects spatial planning to take in addressing climate change.
- 2.8 The Code for Sustainable Homes was published in 2006 to accompany the other Government measures aimed at reducing 'greenhouse gas' emissions. The Code is important in addressing climate change as housing is responsible for 30% of the UK's CO2 emissions.
- 2.9 This will replace the BREEAM Eco-homes accreditation system. There are 6 levels; the highest level 6 equating to an entirely carbon neutral home. It is expected that all Government funded housing will reach at least level 3, which is the nearest equivalent to eco-homes 'very good', the current standard for publicly funded homes. Level 3 is significantly more energy efficient than would be required for a development to met Part L of the Building Regulations (the section in the Building Regulations that deals with energy efficiency).
- 2.10 The Code is not solely concerned with energy efficiency, and sets standards for water management, waste/recycling and sustainable construction/materials.
- 2.11 The draft PPS1 Supplement sees the Code as being largely voluntary but nonetheless expects local authorities to persuade developers to adopt the higher standards. It is an aspiration that by 2016 all homes will be built to level 6, and therefore be carbon neutral, although it is widely acknowledged that with today's technologies it would be difficult to reach level 6 without incurring high costs.
- 2.12 The Government target is that by 2010 10% of the nation's energy requirements will come from renewable sources. This will rise to 20% by 2020, under a target recently agreed by the European Commission, which is binding on the UK Government.
- 2.13 While not a national policy as such the 'Merton rule' introduced by the London Borough of Merton in their unitary development plan (2004) requires residential developments of 10 units or more (or commercial developments of 1000 sq metres or more) to provide10% of their energy needs on site from renewable sources. One of the main aims behind this policy is to make new homes more energy efficient. Meeting 10% of the energy needs of a standard house through renewable energy is expensive and challenging, but providing 10% of the energy needs of a highly insulated energy-efficient house, which obviously uses significantly less energy to start with, is a much cheaper and more effective means of meeting this policy.
- 2.14 The Draft Supplement to PPS1 set a requirement for a minimum of 10% of 'substantial new development' energy requirements to be provided through onsite renewables or from local low carbon energy supplies where available. Furthermore the Planning White Paper (May 2007) states that the Merton rule should be the starting point for local authority policies.
- 2.15 Since its introduction a large number of councils have adopted policies based on the Merton rule into their development plans, and many more are developing similar policies in their emerging policy frameworks. The London Plan has gone even further and is raising the target for on-site renewables to 20%.

2.16 However there has been a 'backlash' against the approach of setting targets for on-site renewables, mainly lead by the development industry. Their argument is largely centred on the costs and efficiency of the available technologies; and the final outcome in respect of the Government's support for this policy approach remains unclear until the PPS1 Supplement is finalised.

Regional Policy

- 2.17 The draft Regional Spatial Strategy; The South East Plan, sets out in Policy SH14 a strategy for environmental sustainability for South Hampshire. It requires new development to incorporate energy efficient passive solar design principles, and to promote high standards of energy efficiency in new and existing development, which requires developers to provide at least 10% of energy demand from renewable sources in housing schemes of over 10 dwellings and commercial schemes of over 1,000 sq metres.
- 2.18 The policy also requires new commercial and residential buildings to achieve as a minimum Eco-homes 'very good' standard, and 'excellent' after 2012. This would equate to the Code for Sustainable Homes level 3 and 4 (although the Code does not at the present time cover commercial buildings).
- 2.19 The South East Plan requires local authorities to include policies in their LDFs to contribute towards the achievement of the regional/sub-regional targets for renewable energy. It also requires DPDS to encourage high standards of energy efficiency.
- 2.20 The policy was not seriously challenged at the EIP into the South East Plan, although the Panel Report on the Examination in Public notes that it might need to be updated to take account of the new Code for Sustainable Homes. The Government's response to these policies in the form of any Proposed Modifications is awaited.
- 2.21 The policy requires local authorities to develop common policies to achieve these aims. The Partnership for Urban South Hampshire (PUSH) is currently producing a 'common policy framework', which it is hoped can be built on by all the South Hampshire local planning authorities in their Core Strategies. However, PUSH has moved away from attempting to devise a single policy that covers such spatially diverse areas as the cities of Portsmouth, Southampton and largely rural districts such as Winchester, as this is unlikely to be 'locally distinctive' or sufficiently flexible to pass the relevant tests of soundness.
- 2.22 PUSH has also recently commissioned consultants Ove Arup to undertake a feasibility study on energy policy and infrastructure for South Hampshire. The study is due to be completed by the end of the year and should indicate what sustainable technologies are available and viable for delivering the PUSH strategy in relation to renewable energy.

Local policy

2.23 The adopted Winchester District Local Plan Review reiterates the Government's target of meeting 10% of electricity requirements from renewable sources by 2010, although this is in its explanatory text rather than being a policy requirement. Policy DP.15 is generally permissive towards renewable energy schemes, provided they meet certain criteria.

2.24 The polices in the Local Plan Review were produced before the imperative of tackling climate change really took hold, and it would be expected that much clearer and firmer policies linked to local opportunities and targets would emerge in the LDF.

3. Background

- 3.1 In order to 'frontload' the LDF process, and to inform and ensure consistency with the Community Strategy, a campaign was launched in February 2007 called 'Live for the Future'. The aim was to engage with the local community to identify the needs, issues and aspirations of local stakeholders. The focus of the campaign was to explore the concept of creating sustainable communities.
- 3.2 One of the key elements in the campaign was to identify how and where the community accessed different services, much of this evidence gathering was aimed at developing options with the objective of reducing the need to travel.
- 3.3 A key question that was asked was 'what makes a community sustainable?' In response there was recognition that while there was a preference for traditional forms of housing, there was also a need to make use of new technologies to make them more energy efficient. In fact there was a consensus that new development should be more energy efficient.
- 3.4 Amongst the main priorities identified by stakeholders for planning Winchester's future were: encouraging good public transport; promoting renewable energy and recycling to help reduce the impact of climate change; planning new development and services concurrently; and ensuring all new development has access by means other than the private car. All of these issues very much fit in with the Government's climate change agenda.
- 3.5 In the summer of 2007 the Council published a draft paper 'Live for the Future: Tackling Climate Change' for consultation, setting out a framework for developing polices to address the issue of climate change. The draft plan sets out what the local community, through the Winchester District Strategic Partnership, can do in delivering action on this important issue. This document recognises the strong link with the Council's spatial planning polices in the LDF.
- 3.6 In response to the consultation a number of comments were made which are of relevance to this paper (the following list is not intended to be a comprehensive summary of the comments received):
 - New development should be designed to minimise the need for heating and lighting;
 - All new buildings should be carbon neutral, and preference should be given to carbon neutral developments;
 - Employment policies should reduce the need to travel to work; and encouragement given to opportunities to increase the ability to walk to work;
 - Winchester should require that 20% of energy in new development should be provided through on-site renewable sources;
 - A comprehensive strategy should be developed for the supply and promotion of renewable energy. Joint heating ventures should be required in new developments.

- 3.7 The main ways that the Core Strategy can address the issue of climate change is through developing policies that reduce the need to travel, and to encourage journeys by sustainable modes of transport; to guide development to the most sustainable locations; to ensure that development is directed away from areas liable to flood and protected areas; and to ensure that all new buildings are efficient in the use of scarce energy, water, etc resources.
- 3.3 The requirement to reduce the need to travel and to allocate new development in sustainable locations is a fundamental principle that will underpin the Core Strategy. It may not be necessary for the Core Strategy to contain direct policies in respect of water conservation, as this issue is likely to be addressed through the standards set out in the Code for Sustainable Homes. There is, however, expected to be a need for policies relating to development in relation to flooding and protected areas, which are dealt with elsewhere in the Core Strategy. This 'issues and options' paper therefore concentrates on the opportunities to address climate change through the development of policies to promote energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy.
- 3.4 As previously stated, while spatial planning can play a role in addressing climate change its impact needs to be put into context. New homes only account for an increase of approximately 1% per annum in the UK housing stock, and even if they only meet Part L of the current Building Regulations, it would mean that they are significantly more energy efficient than the majority of older homes.
- 3.5 However the District is expected to provide at least 12,240 new homes over the next 20 years, plus a part of the new Strategic Development Area to the north/north east of Hedge End. This offers the opportunity to make a significant impact on improving the energy efficiency in a sizable proportion of the District's housing stock (which is currently about 46,600 dwellings).
- 3.6 While it is therefore important to ensure that new homes meet the highest standards of energy efficiency, the bigger challenge is to ensure that the energy performance of the existing housing stock is also raised.
- 3.7 It should also be borne in mind that there is a cost involved, and applying ecohome standards increases the costs of housing. Rough calculations for the West of Waterlooville development have shown that moving from a requirement of meeting Eco-homes 'very good'; to the Code for Sustainable Homes level 3 adds approximately a further £2,000 per dwelling. It has also been estimated by the Building Research Establishment, that to reach level 6 of the Code would increase house prices by £15,000 - £20,000.
- 3.8 The Core Strategy will need to provide clear policy guidance on how the District intends to contribute towards achieving the national and regional targets on renewable energy; it should also be clear on the standard of energy efficiency it expects in respect of new development.
- 3.9 In developing LDF policies on climate change the Council needs to take into account the range of technologies available to deliver the policy requirements. The most common available in the District include:
 - <u>Solar water heating</u>; these systems include the installation of solar panels on the roof which collect heat to provide hot water. They are relatively simple and cheap to install and are generally considered to be a cost effective means of

providing heat. But they can look unsightly, particularly in the historic environment, and they do not normally count towards meeting national or regional targets in respect of renewable energy;

- <u>Photovoltaic systems</u>; these are in some respects similar to solar panels in that they are usually installed on the roof, but in this instance they employ solar radiation to stimulate an electrical current in photovoltaic cells. At the present time they are extremely costly to install and would rarely justify their costs in energy savings alone. The Building Research Establishment anticipates that the costs of these installations will fall significantly as the technology improves.
- <u>Wind energy</u>; the Study into the sustainable energy opportunities in the Waterlooville MDA concluded that there was little scope for large scale wind energy, largely on the grounds of average wind speeds in the area. If this is also the case elsewhere then the District might not be well suited to large scale wind turbines generating between 50 kW to 3 MW of electricity,. While the visual impact of wind turbines can sometimes be overstated, there is general agreement that they should not be located in highly sensitive landscapes such as Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, which could further reduce their potential in the District. However the final decision on the potential of wind energy will depend on the outcome of the PUSH renewable energy study and further work which may be needed for Winchester District. Small-scale turbines mounted on buildings, which would typically generate between 0.5 to 6 kW, are notoriously unreliable and their widespread use as an effective contribution towards renewable energy is unlikely until there are significant improvements to the technology;
- <u>Combined heat and power</u>; this is a widely used technology and can be used to provide power over a wide area. It can be at its most effective in mixed use areas where the peak demand for power from the different users varies across the day, e.g. employment uses need more power during the day, and residential in the evenings and weekends. The important factor is to ensure a consistent source of low carbon fuel. In this respect biomass is sometimes used a fuel source. This involves burning wood chips, straw, or energy crops. But there is a finite limit on the sources of some of these fuels and there are also questions over the financial viability and sustainability of many so-called energy crops. However this form of small to medium scale energy production offers the greatest opportunity to meet some of the challenging on-site and off-site renewable energy targets.
- <u>Anaerobic digestion</u>; this technology breaks down organic waste to produce a biogas which contains high concentrates of methane. This methane would have occurred naturally if the waste had been sent to landfill, but rather than provide a source of energy, it would have contributed towards the increasing levels of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, as it is 24 times more potent in respect of its impact on global warming that carbon dioxide. But, as a source of energy, it is effective but limited by the finite supply of raw materials.
- <u>Heat pumps</u>; this usually involves water pipes being embedded below the ground. In the south of England soil temperatures just a few centimetres below the surface are sufficiently high to provide enough heat to power hot water or space heating systems. They can even be reversed in the summer to provide cooling in hot weather.
- <u>Building Orientation/Design</u>; a further means of ensuring energy efficiency is through the layout and design of new buildings, in particular ensuring that buildings are orientated to maximises the opportunity to optimise the use of passive solar gain. This would improve the building's performance in respect

of daylight and natural ventilation. Buildings should ideally be orientated so that the principal rooms face towards the south (a variation of up to 25 degrees in either direction is acceptable). This will allow them to maximise sunlight throughout the day, and will be cooler in the late afternoon/early evening. Conversely kitchens or other rooms which generate higher levels of heat should be located on the northern side of the building. Another benefit of this orientation is that solar panels work more effectively on south-facing roofs.

- 3.10 Research undertaken by Savills (The Market for Sustainable Homes 2007) suggests that for some sustainable technologies the capital costs of installation are rarely justified through the financial savings on energy costs. For example a photovoltaic solar energy system could cost up to ten thousand pounds to install and might take 40-55 years to pay for itself. Other technologies however show bigger savings and much shorter payback times. For example a micro combined heat and power unit would cost around £1,600 £2,000 and save approximately £230 per annum in fuel bills, thus paying for itself in about 6-7 years.
- 3.11 A report produced by Savills and Future Energy Solutions on the 'Sustainable Energy Opportunities in the Waterlooville MDA' concluded that the wide-spread use of solar photovoltiacs was unlikely due to the high costs involved, but there was scope for incorporating solar water heating, and the development of combined heat and power/biomass systems.
- 3.12 It is expected that the above order of costs and savings will change significantly even in the short term as technologies improve and the cost of those technologies is reduced accordingly.
- 3.13 As stated earlier the option of doing nothing is not a serious option, but it is essential that the policy approach adopted by the Council leads to cost effective as well as environmentally sound solutions.

4. Main issues emerging

4.1 The widespread use of wind power or photovoltaic technology to provide renewable energy in the District is unlikely, at least in the short term. The main source of renewable energy is therefore likely to come from combined heat and power (CHP)/ biomass systems, combined with micro-generation such as solar water heating, or heat pumps. The CHP technologies have the potential to provide the greatest source of renewable energy in the District, but are likely to be most effective on larger developments. The main issues which need to be addressed in the Core Strategy are;

- Should the Council set a target for on-site renewable energy or be more amenable to off-site generation; should this reflect the 10% Merton rule or be more challenging?
- What scale of development should any targets apply to; should there be a sliding scale of targets with the larger developments being set the more challenging targets or should the means of meeting the requirements vary with the type and scale of development?
- Should the targets initially be set at a lower standard to reflect the current costs and technologies available and be progressively raised to meet

anticipated improvements to both the cost and effectiveness of sustainable technologies?

- To what extent should the policies on renewable energy have a spatial element, and is there justification for separate polices to be developed for the rural areas and market towns, Winchester Town, and PUSH?
- Given that the sources of low carbon fuels are finite, and should be drawn from local sources, what if any are the limitations within the District to maximising the use of CHP/biomass?
- How can the use of renewable energy technologies in new development be 'rolled out' to benefit the whole community?
- Much of the District enjoys an extremely high quality of landscape and townscape, much of it subject to special designations, which the visual appearance of many sustainable technologies can conflict with. How can the need to employ new sustainable technologies be reconciled with the need to protect and enhance the environmental quality of the District?
- The Core Strategy is required to take a broad strategic approach to developing policies to address climate change, and at this stage it will be necessary to determine the level of detail appropriate for the CS. Is more detailed advice required in the form of a further DPD on climate change; polices in a general DPD for development control purposes; or a Supplementary Planning Document?

5. Towards Core Strategy Options

From an analysis of the above issues a number of potential options have been identified. It is not suggested that each option is 'stand alone', and the preferred options for addressing climate change might be either a variation or combination of the following:

A. To set the minimum standards necessary to comply with Government and regional policy.

This approach would ensure conformity with Government advice and the South East Plan. It would still see significant improvements above the standards of energy efficiency currently found in new buildings, while not adding substantially to building costs. It is likely that national policy requirements would get more challenging over time and that the Code for Sustainable Homes would eventually be mandatory. But this option would offer little encouragement for developers to do anything other than meet minimum standards, and may do little in respect of establishing renewable energy sources which could benefit the wider community. It also provides little leadership to our communities.

If this option is not accepted then what standards/targets should the Council adopt, and would they be realistic, deliverable and affordable?

B. To set more challenging targets, which require all new buildings to provide at least 10% of their energy from on-site renewables, until 2010 rising to 20% by 2020, with higher targets set for large-scale developments, or development in rural areas.

Meeting the requirement for 10% - 20 % of energy from on-site renewable sources, should not prove particularly challenging in large scale developments and development in some rural areas. But it could prove very hard to achieve in small-scale developments and developments in urban areas where the options are more limited. A blanket policy that covered all development across the District may not be appropriate, so if more rigorous standards were to be adopted it would be expedient to set targets for the percentage of renewable energy generated from on-site sources, and the specific areas to which the policy applies.

Are higher standards actually achievable, what technologies could be employed and what order of costs would be incurred. How should this policy be applied throughout the District and what would be a reasonable threshold for complying with this policy?

C. Rather than requiring energy to be provided through on-site renewable energy sources, more encouragement is given to seeking energy from off-site renewable energy sources.

It would probably be expedient to ensure that a proportion of a development's energy needs are generated on-site to help ensure that the actual energy requirements are kept low. But the development of off-site renewable energy facilities may have greater potential for bringing benefits to the wider community in respect of cheaper sustainable energy.

How should such off-site facilities be planned and managed, and what role does the Council have in the latter. To what extent should the Council be identifying sites for renewable energy production and should it be involved as an energy producer?

D. To set really challenging standards in respect of new housing development and require all new homes to achieve Code for Sustainable Homes level 4 from 2009, rising to level 5 after 2012, and level 6 by 2016.

This would have significant cost implications, which could be reflected in housing affordability, and might be difficult to make mandatory, but would ensure that new housing development makes a serious contribution towards tackling climate change. It is questionable whether Registered Social Landlords or other providers of social housing, who are currently required to meet level 3, would be able to fund/get grant for the higher levels. Whatever targets are finally set the Government is quite clear that they should not threaten housing delivery.

Would the benefits of developing housing to very high standards of sustainable design outweigh the difficulties and costs of achieving the higher standards? Would setting higher targets have a significant effect on the delivery or affordability of housing?

E. To develop polices which are more permissive towards the use and installation of renewable energy technologies.

This could bring the polices to address climate change into direct conflict with policies to protect and enhance the historic environment and the countryside.

Where should the balance lie between the aims of addressing climate change and protecting the high standards of visual amenity in some of the District's towns and countryside? Does the Council need to be specific as to where and in what circumstances it might be prepared to relax standards in order to promote renewable energy?